UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,500	08/23/2004	Keith Antonio Dixon	1410/77137	1831
	7590	EXAMINER		
120 S. LASALLE STREET			MCKINLEY, CHRISTOPHER BRIAN	
	SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			PAPER NUMBER
			3781	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/801,500	DIXON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER B. MCKINLEY	3781
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION OF THE MAILING DESTRUCTION OF THE MAILING	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or pers  4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2004 is/are	awn from consideration.  or election requirement.  er.	to by the Eveniner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/16/2204.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 3781

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the first end" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 12 recites the limitation "the first end" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Offerman et al. (2004/0217116) in view of Waber et al. (2,754,030). Offerman et al. disclose the limitations of the claims including a package assembly (figs. 1-11) comprising a tray (30), cover (fig. 11), movable to a partially open position thereby creating a dispensing slot (fig. 3), stop member (46a), slide rails (45), metal (Abstract).

Art Unit: 3781

Offerman et al. exclude what Waber et al. teach, a tray for accommodating and dispensing food items having a pair of opposed ends (20 & 40), shelf/intermediate wall (18) and ramp (19) thereby facilitating metering. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Offerman et al. with the aforementioned structural features of Waber et al. in order to facilitate metered dispensing.

- 5. Claims 5 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as described in par. 4 in view of Duell (2,378,003). The references as described in par. 4 disclose the limitations of the claims substantially excluding what Duel teaches, a recessed shelf (fig. 2, 21) in order to selectively receive tablets. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the references as described in par. 4 with a recessed shelf on an intermediate wall, as taught by Duell '003, in order to selectively receive container contents.
- 6. Claims 6, 7 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as described in par. 5 in view of Storz (2003/0106900). The references as described in par. 5 disclose the limitations of the claims substantially excluding what Storz teaches, a depression (fig. 7, 16) to control movement of container contents. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of

Art Unit: 3781

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the references as described in par. 5 with, a depression to control movement of container contents, as taught by Storz.

#### Conclusion

- 7. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER B. MCKINLEY whose telephone number is (571)272-3370. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 AM 5:30 PM.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3781

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. B. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 3781

/Anthony D Stashick/ Anthony D Stashick

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3781